

The Ohio Drainage Laws Petition Procedures ORC 6131/6137

Vienot #23 Watershed

DELAWARE SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT
DELAWARE COUNTY ENGINEER'S OFFICE

Contact Information

Daniel Barr

Resource Conservationist

dbarr@co.delaware.oh.us

Bret Bacon

Deputy Administrator

bbacon@co.delaware.oh.us


Delaware Soil & Water Conservation District

740-368-1921

<https://soilandwater.co.delaware.oh.us>

Why are we here?


- Landowner contacted SWCD seeking assistance with solving drainage problems
- The likely solution to the known drainage problems would require work on more than just a single property
- No project has been proposed and any potential scope is not known at this time
- This meeting is strictly informational in nature



Watershed

- Appx. Watershed area = 350 acres
- Work originally done via the ORC petition process in 1873, 1891, 1904, & 1947 (where the name originated)
- No comprehensive maintenance on the majority of the drainage system

Watershed



- Watershed determined by remote sensing & GIS tools
- It has not been surveyed or field verified
- Final watershed determination is part of the engineering process

Drainage vs. Flooding: “What’s the difference?”

- Drainage systems often consist of both surface and subsurface components
- Surface drainage features are meant to capture overland flow
- Subsurface drains (or tile) are meant to drain water from the soil after the surface runoff has finished
- Tile are not designed to have the capacity to handle surface water runoff (storm sewer in an urban/suburban capacity would be the exception to this)

Drainage vs. Flooding: “What’s the difference?”

- Example: Open Channel, 6’ bottom width & 6’ deep = 280 cfs
24” Smooth Interior Tile = 9 cfs
- The above open channel example would contain the runoff from a 1-year storm in the overall watershed
- A 1-year storm is likely to occur on an annual basis and is a measure of rainfall occurring over a 24-hour period
- Flooding occurs when the capacity of the surface drainage system (or storm sewer) is exceeded by the amount of flow
- This can occur because of long extended periods of rain or shorter higher intensity storms, or microbursts

Drainage vs. Flooding: “What’s the difference?”

- Flood control projects are often very expensive and are large in scope
- Good drainage consists of both functional surface and subsurface drains with a good and sufficient outlet
- A functional surface drain eliminates pockets of standing, or ponded, water in the watershed
- A functional tile system drains the saturated soil condition that exists after the surface runoff has ended

What are the options for addressing drainage problems?

- The State of Ohio treats drainage on private property as a civil matter between landowners – Precedent case law governs, No “Drainage Police”
- To solve a drainage problem requires:
 1. Access
 2. Funding Source

What are the options for addressing drainage problems?

1. Landowners work together on a cooperative group basis to enact a solution.
2. File a civil lawsuit.
 - Typically done to recover monetary damages from willful acts of negligence
 - Doesn't necessarily provide a fix for a problem
3. Utilize the ORC 6131 petition procedure

What are the options for addressing drainage problems?

- ORC 6131 and its companion statutes are the only sections within the Revised Code that speak to drainage on private property
- It is the only section of Ohio law that gives any unit of state, county, or local government the authority to undertake drainage projects to benefit private properties
- It provides the Access and the Funding

ORC Chapter 6131

- Commonly known as the “County Ditch Petition” law
- Intended for multi-property, watershed scale drainage improvements
- Provides a means for all landowners receiving benefits from a drainage improvement project to share in the construction and maintenance costs of the project

ORC Chapter 6131

- There are separate sections that address multi-county (6133) and multi-state (6135) projects
- Only deals with construction, not maintenance, of an improvement
(We'll come back to this point later)

ORC Chapter 6131

- Is an entirely landowner driven, landowner funded process
- County is reactive to a petition request
- County Commissioners, County Engineer, and SWCD all have roles in the process as outlined in the statute

ORC 6131: What improvements may be requested?

- Construction, reconstruction, or any change in the course, location, or terminus of any ditch, drain, subsurface drain, watercourse, or floodway

- The removal of obstructions such as silt bars, log jams, debris, and drift from any ditch, drain, watercourse, floodway, river, creek, or run

ORC 6131: What cannot be requested?

- Breaking watershed
- Punitive actions
- Parcel-specific improvements
- Making a jurisdictional stream straighter-deeper-wider (but we can do logjam removal)

ORC 6131: Filing the Petition

- Filed with the Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners
- Requires a \$1500 bond (plus \$5 for every parcel over 200 parcels), can be split between landowners
- May be amended within 90 days following the View

ORC 6131: Filing the Petition

- Consultation with SWCD and County Engineer required prior to filing any petition
- 1 valid signature is necessary to initiate the process
- The petition request is a big factor in setting the scope of a project

ORC 6131: Petition Scope

- Landowners set the upstream extent of a petition project, engineering sets the downstream extent
- Only by signing the petition can a landowner specifically request for the improvement to be brought to their property
- Signing the petition does not obligate you to testify in favor of the petition if you change your mind

ORC 6131: Petition Filed

- View is scheduled not less than 30 nor more than 120 days after the date the petition is filed
- 1st Hearing is scheduled not less than 30 nor more than 90 days following the View
- Notices are sent via certified mail and official legal notice placed in the Delaware Gazette
- Notices are sent to address on record with County Auditor

ORC 6131: View

- View allows Commissioners, Engineers, and interested land owners to gather field information on the project
- Typically is done by drone video but can occur on-site as well
- No testimony is taken
- Landowners are permitted to point out specific points of interest

ORC 6131: 1st Hearing

- County Engineer/SWCD files a report which includes a description of existing conditions, cost estimate, statement of feasibility, and general cost/benefit analysis
- Testimony is taken by those both for and against the petition
- Landowners may also submit written comments
- Commissioners vote to proceed with project survey and design or to dismiss the petition

ORC 6131: 1st Hearing

- Bond is returned if the project is approved at the 1st Hearing
- The scope of a project is set at the 1st Hearing based on the original petition, any filed amendments, and the decision of the Commissioners

ORC 6131: Preparation of Plans

- County Engineer, or their representative (SWCD), surveys and develops plans and cost estimates for the project
- Engineering done per specifications of the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service and the Ohio Department of Transportation
- Goal is to develop the “Best, Least-cost solution” that meets the request of the petition

ORC 6131: Schedule of Assessments

- Each parcel in the watershed is assessed a portion of the cost of constructing and maintaining the proposed improvement
- Determined on a parcel-specific basis
- Only assessed for improvement which is downstream
- All parcels assessed including road rights-of-way, those owned by local or state government, utilities, schools, churches, etc.
- ORC directs us to assess parcels for the amount of benefit that will be received from the project

ORC 6131: Benefits

- “advantages to land and owners,...,resulting from drainage, conservation, control and management of water, and environmental, wildlife, and recreational improvements.”
- “Uplands that have been removed from their natural state by deforestation, cultivation, artificial drainage, urban development or other human methods **shall** be considered to be benefited by an improvement that is required to dispose of the accelerated flow of water from the uplands.”

ORC 6131: Benefits

According to the Ohio Revised Code


BENEFIT = USE

Delaware County Model for Assessments

- ORC does not specify the methodology for calculating assessments, only that the assessments be reflective of the benefits
- Delaware County uses a 4-factor method widely used throughout the state
- Acres Benefited, Land Use, Percent Use, Remoteness
- Factors applied on a parcel-specific basis

Delaware County Model: Acres Benefited


- Parcel A: 24 Ac, all in the watershed
- Parcel B: 25 Ac, but only 12 in the watershed
- Parcel C: 22 Ac, 20 of which are in the watershed



Delaware County Model: Land Use

Least Benefit
& Contribution

Most Benefit &
Contribution




Delaware County Model: Land Use

- Parcel C: 20 Ac benefited
- 5 Acres Homesite
 - 1 Ac Developed Residential
 - 4 Ac Undeveloped Residential
- 11 Acres Agricultural
- 4 Acres Woods




Delaware County Model: % Use

- Parcel A uses 100% of the improvement
- Parcel C uses 70% based on their downstream property line
- Parcel B's drainage enters further downstream thus it only uses 30% of the total improvement



Delaware County Model: Remoteness Factor

- Gives a reduction in assessments for parcels located further away from the constructed improvement
 - Assigned in ½ mile increments
- 0 – 0.5 miles: Remote = 1.0
 0.5 – 1.0 miles: Remote = 0.9
 1.0 – 1.5 miles: Remote = 0.8



Delaware County Model: Assessment Formula

Acres Benefited x Landuse Factor x % Use x Remote Factor =
Parcel Assessment Factor

Parcel Assessment Factor / Total of Assessment Factors = % Share

Parcel Assessment = % Share x Total Cost

ORC 6131: 2nd (Final) Hearing

- Commissioners hear all information, plans, and reports submitted by the County Engineer & SWCD
- Testimony is again taken from those both for and against the project
- Commissioners vote to either approve the proposed improvement, including the project plans and schedule of assessments, or to set aside their original order and dismiss the petition
- Commissioners are the “judge and jury” – there is no vote taken among the land owners

ORC 6131: 2nd Hearing

Commissioners decision is based on 3 factors specified in the ORC:

- Is the project necessary?
 - (Is it needed, can it be solved without the petition process?)
- Is the project conducive to the public welfare?
 - (Is there a community benefit to be accomplished by improving the drainage)
- Do the benefits of the project outweigh the costs?

ORC 6131: Appeals

- Any owner opposed to the decision of the Commissioners may appeal that decision to the Court of Common Pleas
- An attorney is often employed for an appeal

ORC 6131: Bidding & Construction

- County Engineer advertises for public bid
- Contract awarded to lowest and best bid
- County Engineer, or their representative (SWCD) supervises the construction of the project to certify that it is being completed according to the approved plans and specifications

ORC 6131: Bidding & Construction

- Projects are not allowed, by law, to exceed the estimate presented at the 2nd Hearing
- Projects are not typically subject to prevailing wage
- Upon completion, the approved schedule of assessments is reduced pro rata by the difference between the estimated and actual cost

ORC 6131: Paying Assessments

- Can be paid in full up-front within 30 days of the 2nd Hearing
- Commissioners can extend payments up to 15 years – typically the repayment period in Delaware County is 8 years
- If they choose to use bonds to pay for the project, interest, financing fees, and Auditor/Treasurer collection fees are applied to any assessment not paid up-front

ORC 6131: Paying Assessments

- Why the 30-day period to pay upfront?
 1. It's what the law tells us to do.
 2. Allows the Commissioners to know the amount of Bond or General Funds needed to build the project.

ORC 6137: Drainage Maintenance

- ORC 6137 enacted in 1957
- All projects completed under ORC 6131 are placed on the County's Drainage Maintenance program following completion and easements for maintenance are created by Commissioners' resolution and recorded
- County Engineer has general charge and supervision of the Maintenance program
- Maintenance assessments are levied annually and are based on the final schedule of assessments for the constructed project – generally 3-5% of construction assessment

ORC 6137: Drainage Maintenance

- Maintenance funds are project-specific
- All projects on Drainage Maintenance are inspected annually (or more frequently if needed)
- Land owners may make requests to the Drainage Maintenance Program
- SWCD handles design, construction, and maintenance in partnership with the County Commissioners and County Engineer
- Purpose of Drainage Maintenance is to keep the project operating at its original design capacity

ORC 6131/6137: Summary

- No petition request has been made at this point
- A cost estimate of a potential project cannot be made yet
- The petition process is entirely landowner-driven and landowner-funded
- One signature and the bond is required to initiate the petition process
- Public participation is needed and encouraged throughout the process

ORC 6131/6137: Summary

- Signing the petition allows for improvements to be designed to a property
- Signing the petition does not obligate you to testify for a petition nor prohibit you from testifying against a petition
- All parcels are assessed regardless of whether they have signed the petition
- SWCD/County Engineer will not advocate for or against a project

ORC 6131/6137: Summary

How long does the process take?

- It depends on the complexity of the project, but on average 2-3 years
- Typically 6 months from the time a petition is filed to the 1st Hearing
- Engineering averages 2 years based on workload
- Petitions are generally addressed on a first-come, first-served basis

ORC 6131/6137: QUESTIONS?

This presentation has outlined the main provisions of the Ohio Drainage Laws Petition Procedure. It is not intended to be a complete transcript of Ohio Revised Code 6131 or 6137. In specific cases, direct reference should be made to ORC 6131, 6133, 6135, and 6137. In many instances, the assistance of a qualified attorney may be necessary.

Sources:

The Ohio Drainage Laws Petition Procedure: Bulletin #428, The Ohio State University Cooperative Extension Service, Nolte, Byron H., Revised April 1985.

Ohio Revised Code, Sections 6131, 6133 and 6137